BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Deep Learning for Data Science

Lecture 03 Shallow Neural Networks

Slides originally by Thomas Gardos. Images from <u>Understanding Deep Learning</u> unless otherwise cited.

Recap: Regression



- Univariate regression problem (one output, real value)
- Fully connected network

Recap: 1D Linear regression loss function



Loss function:

L

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi] &= \sum_{i=1}^{I} (\mathbf{f}[x_i, \phi] - y_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{I} (\phi_0 + \phi_1 x_i - y_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

"Least squares loss function"

Recap: 1D Linear regression training



This technique is known as gradient descent

Shallow neural networks

- 1D regression model is obviously limited
 - Limited to lines.
 - Only one input, and output
- General linear regression still limited
 - Limited to lines/planes/hyperplanes... only flat surfaces
 - Multiple inputs, only one output
 - At least it has an analytical solution?
- Shallow neural networks
 - Flexible enough to describe arbitrarily complex input/output mappings
 - Can have as many inputs as we want
 - Can have as many outputs as we want

This lecture we'll cover...

- Example network, 1 input, 1 output
- Universal approximation theorem
- More than one output
- More than one input
- General case
- Number of regions
- Terminology
- Universal approximation HOWTO

1D Linear Regression vs 0 Hidden vs 1 Hidden

1D Linear Regression

• $y = f[x, \phi] = \phi_0 + \phi_1 x$

Shallow Neural Network with no hidden layers

• $y = f[x, \phi] = a[\phi_0 + \phi_1 x]$ a is an "activation function"

Shallow Neural Network with one hidden layer

• $y = f[x, \phi] = a_0[\phi_0 + \phi_1 a_1[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_1 a_2[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_1 a_3[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]]$

usually skip a_0 (identity function) and use $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$

 $y = f[x, \phi]$ = $\phi_0 + \phi_1 a[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 a[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 a[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$

Activation function

 $y = \mathbf{f}[x, \boldsymbol{\phi}]$ $= \phi_0 + \phi_1 \hat{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 \hat{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 \hat{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$

Activation function

$$y = f[x, \phi]$$

= $\phi_0 + \phi_1 a[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 a[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 a[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$

If a is the identity function, a[x] = x, then this simplifies to a linear function.

 $\mathbf{y} = (\phi_0 + \phi_1 \theta_{10} + \phi_1 \theta_{20} + \phi_1 \theta_{30}) + (\phi_1 \theta_{11} + \phi_1 \theta_{21} + \phi_1 \theta_{31}) \mathbf{x}$

So the activation functions are a critical part of neural network capability.

Activation function

$$y = f[x, \phi]$$

= $\phi_0 + \phi_1 a[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 a[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 a[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$

$$\mathbf{a}[z] = \operatorname{ReLU}[z] = \begin{cases} 0 & z < 0 \\ z & z \ge 0 \end{cases}.$$

Rectified Linear Unit

(a very common activation function)

Activation function

$$y = f[x, \phi]$$

= $\phi_0 + \phi_1 a[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 a[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 a[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$

$$\mathbf{a}[z] = \operatorname{ReLU}[z] = \begin{cases} 0 & z < 0 \\ z & z \ge 0 \end{cases}.$$

Rectified Linear Unit

(a very common activation function)



Activation function

$$y = f[x, \phi]$$

= $\phi_0 + \phi_1 a[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 a[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 a[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$

$$\mathbf{a}[z] = \operatorname{ReLU}[z] = \begin{cases} 0 & z < 0 \\ z & z \ge 0 \end{cases}.$$

Rectified Linear Unit

(a very common activation function)



Easy gradients zero or one depending on activity.

$$y = f[x, \phi]$$

= $\phi_0 + \phi_1 a[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 a[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 a[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$

This model has 10 parameters:

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} = \{\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \theta_{10}, \theta_{11}, \theta_{20}, \theta_{21}, \theta_{30}, \theta_{31}\}$$

- Represents a family of functions
- Parameters determine a particular function
- Given the parameters, we can perform inference (evaluate the equation)
- Given training dataset $\{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i=1}^{I}$
- Choose loss function $L[\phi]$ (initially least squares)
- Change parameters to minimize loss function

 $y = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x].$

 $y = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x].$



Hidden units

$y = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] + \phi_2 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] + \phi_3 \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x].$

Break down into two parts:

$$y = \phi_0 + \phi_1 h_1 + \phi_2 h_2 + \phi_3 h_3$$

where:

Hidden units
$$\begin{cases} h_1 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x] \\ h_2 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] \\ h_3 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x] \end{cases}$$

1. compute three linear functions





3. Weight the hidden units





Example: 3 different shallow networks



Example shallow network = piecewise linear functions 1 "joint" per ReLU function

Activation pattern = which hidden units are activated



Depicting neural networks



Each parameter multiplies its source and adds to its target

Depicting neural networks Usually don't show the bias terms

$$h_{1} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x]$$

$$h_{2} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x] \qquad y = \phi_{0} + \phi_{1}h_{1} + \phi_{2}h_{2} + \phi_{3}h_{3}$$

$$h_{3} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$$



Shallow neural networks

- Example network, 1 input, 1 output
- Universal approximation theorem
- More than one output
- More than one input
- General case
- Number of regions
- Terminology
- Universal approximation HOWTO

With 3 hidden units:

$$h_{1} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x]$$

$$h_{2} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x]$$

$$y = \phi_{0} + \phi_{1}h_{1} + \phi_{2}h_{2} + \phi_{3}h_{3}$$

$$h_{3} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$$

With D hidden units:

$$h_d = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{d0} + \theta_{d1}x] \qquad \qquad y = \phi_0 + \sum_{d=1}^D \phi_d h_d$$

With enough hidden units...

... we can describe any 1D function to arbitrary accuracy



Universal approximation theorem

"a formal proof that, with enough hidden units, a shallow neural network can describe any continuous function in \mathbb{R}^D to arbitrary precision"

Will circle back to this at the end to show how this works.

Shallow neural networks

- Example network, 1 input, 1 output
- Universal approximation theorem
- More than one output
- More than one input
- General case
- Number of regions
- Terminology
- Universal approximation HOWTO

Two outputs

• 1 input, 4 hidden units, 2 outputs

$$h_1 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x]$$

$$h_2 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x]$$

$$h_3 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$$

$$h_4 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{40} + \theta_{41}x]$$

$$y_1 = \phi_{10} + \phi_{11}h_1 + \phi_{12}h_2 + \phi_{13}h_3 + \phi_{14}h_4$$
$$y_2 = \phi_{20} + \phi_{21}h_1 + \phi_{22}h_2 + \phi_{23}h_3 + \phi_{24}h_4$$

Two outputs

• 1 input, 4 hidden units, 2 outputs

$$h_1 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x]$$
$$h_2 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x]$$
$$h_3 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$$
$$h_4 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{40} + \theta_{41}x]$$

$$y_1 = \phi_{10} + \phi_{11}h_1 + \phi_{12}h_2 + \phi_{13}h_3 + \phi_{14}h_4$$
$$y_2 = \phi_{20} + \phi_{21}h_1 + \phi_{22}h_2 + \phi_{23}h_3 + \phi_{24}h_4$$



Two outputs

• 1 input, 4 hidden units, 2 outputs

$$h_1 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x]$$
$$h_2 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x]$$
$$h_3 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$$
$$h_4 = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{40} + \theta_{41}x]$$

$$y_1 = \phi_{10} + \phi_{11}h_1 + \phi_{12}h_2 + \phi_{13}h_3 + \phi_{14}h_4$$
$$y_2 = \phi_{20} + \phi_{21}h_1 + \phi_{22}h_2 + \phi_{23}h_3 + \phi_{24}h_4$$





Shallow neural networks

- Example network, 1 input, 1 output
- Universal approximation theorem
- More than one output
- More than one input
- General case
- Number of regions
- Terminology
- Universal approximation HOWTO

Two inputs

• 2 inputs, 3 hidden units, 1 output

$$h_{1} = a[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x_{1} + \theta_{12}x_{2}]$$

$$h_{2} = a[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x_{1} + \theta_{22}x_{2}]$$

$$h_{3} = a[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x_{1} + \theta_{32}x_{2}]$$

$$y = \phi_0 + \phi_1 h_1 + \phi_2 h_2 + \phi_3 h_3$$












Fitting a dataset where: each sample has 2 inputs and 1 output



Question:

- For the 2D case, what if there were two outputs?
- If this is one of the outputs, what would the other one look like?



Shallow neural networks

- Example network, 1 input, 1 output
- Universal approximation theorem
- More than one output
- More than one input
- General case
- Number of regions
- Terminology
- Universal approximation HOWTO

Arbitrary inputs, hidden units, outputs

• D_i inputs, D hidden units, and D_o Outputs

$$h_d = \mathbf{a} \left[\theta_{d0} + \sum_{i=1}^{D_i} \theta_{di} x_i \right] \qquad y_j = \phi_{j0} + \sum_{d=1}^{D} \phi_{jd} h_d$$

• e.g., Three inputs, three hidden units, two outputs



Question:

• How many parameters does this model have?





Output with boundaries and in 3D



How would you draw and write this neural network?



How would you draw and write this neural network?





$$h_{1} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x_{1} + \theta_{12}x_{2}]$$
$$h_{2} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x_{1} + \theta_{22}x_{2}]$$
$$h_{3} = \mathbf{a}[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x_{1} + \theta_{32}x_{2}]$$

Arbitrary inputs, hidden units, outputs

• *D_o* Outputs, *D* hidden units, and *D_i* inputs

$$h_d = a \left[\theta_{d0} + \sum_{i=1}^{D_i} \theta_{di} x_i \right] \qquad y_j = \phi_{j0} + \sum_{d=1}^{D} \phi_{jd} h_d$$

• e.g., Three inputs, three hidden units, two outputs



Shallow neural networks

- Example network, 1 input, 1 output
- Universal approximation theorem
- More than one output
- More than one input
- General case
- Number of regions
- Terminology
- Universal approximation HOWTO

Number of output regions

- In general, each output consists of multi-dimensional convex polytopes
- With two inputs, and three hidden units, we saw there were seven polygons for each output:



Polytope -- Wikipedia

In elementary geometry, a polytope is a geometric object with flat sides (faces). Polytopes are the generalization of three-dimensional polyhedra to any number of dimensions. Polytopes may exist in any general number of dimensions n as an n-dimensional polytope or n-polytope.





Example with $D = D_i \rightarrow 2^{D_i}$ regions

 D_i : # of inputs D: # of hidden units D_o : # of outputs



1 input (1-dimension) with 1 hidden unit creates two regions (one joint) 2 input (2-dimensions) with 2 hidden units creates four regions (two lines) 3 inputs (3-dimensions) with 3 hidden units creates eight regions (three planes)

Number of regions:

 D_i : # of inputs D: # of hidden units D_o : # of outputs

• Number of regions created by $D > D_i$ hyper-planes in D_i dimensions was proved by Zaslavsky (1975) to be:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{D_i} \binom{D}{j} = \frac{D!}{j!(D-j)!} \qquad \longleftarrow \text{ Binomial coefficients!}$$

• How big is this? It's greater than 2^{Di} but less than 2^{D} .

Number of output regions

 D_i : # of inputs D: # of hidden units D_o : # of outputs

- In general, each output consists of D dimensional convex polytopes
- How many?



Highlighted point = 500 hidden units or 51,001 parameters

Is More Output Regions Good?

- More output regions ~ more flexibility.
- More output regions ~ more freedom to overfit.
 - But not completely arbitrary freedom to overfit.
 - Still linear within each region.
 - Still consistency between adjacent regions.
- Training details will matter

Shallow neural networks

- Example network, 1 input, 1 output
- Universal approximation theorem
- More than one output
- More than one input
- General case
- Number of regions
- Terminology
- Universal approximation HOWTO

Nomenclature



Nomenclature



- Y-offsets = biases
- Slopes = weights
- Everything in one layer connected to everything in the next = fully connected network (multi-layer perceptron)
- No loops = feedforward network
- Values after ReLU (activation functions) = activations
- Values before ReLU = pre-activations
- One hidden layer = shallow neural network
- More than one hidden layer = deep neural network
- Number of hidden units ≈ capacity



Ramachandran, P., Zoph, B., & Le, Q. V. (2017). Searching for activation functions. <u>arXiv:1710.05941</u>.

Sigmoid function sig[z] is handy for limiting output range since its range is [0,1].



Ramachandran, P., Zoph, B., & Le, Q. V. (2017). Searching for activation functions. *arXiv:1710.05941*.





None of these are polynomials. Polynomial activations restrict output to be polynomials.

Regression



We have built a model that can:

- take an arbitrary number of inputs
- output an arbitrary number of outputs
- model a function of arbitrary complexity between the two

$$h_d = \mathbf{a} \left[\theta_{d0} + \sum_{i=1}^{D_i} \theta_{di} x_i \right] \qquad y_j = \phi_{j0} + \sum_{d=1}^{D} \phi_{jd} h_d$$

Shallow neural networks

- Example network, 1 input, 1 output
- Universal approximation theorem
- More than one output
- More than one input
- General case
- Number of regions
- Terminology
- Universal approximation HOWTO









Add a hidden node that activates at x=0, setting slope to match first region.



Each new hidden node activates at the next region boundary.



This process works by extending the number of regions perfectly matched one at a time.



Regions not matched yet are completely ignored.


With 1 input variable, it is easy to order the regions, and avoid impacting the previously matched regions.



With 1 input variable, it is easy to order the regions, and avoid impacting the previously matched regions.





This process of incrementally matching a region while avoiding previous matches gets much harder with more dimensions. But it will be easier with deep networks.



BTW we do not construct universal approximations like this in practice. We are training from points, not fitting curves. And training data may conflict.

Next Week

- Deep Neural Networks
 - More of the same?
 - But different?
 - And better?

Feedback?

